



Infinitely Differentiable Generalized Logarithmic and Exponential Functions

Peter Walker

Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 57, No. 196. (Oct., 1991), pp. 723-733.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0025-5718%28199110%2957%3A196%3C723%3AIDGLAE%3E2.0.CO%3B2-B>

Mathematics of Computation is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE GENERALIZED LOGARITHMIC AND EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS

PETER WALKER

ABSTRACT. We construct infinitely differentiable solutions of the functional equation $f(x+1) = e^{f(x)}$. Numerical values are found and their accuracy is discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Functions F, G satisfying

$$(1) \quad F(x+1) = e^{F(x)}$$

and

$$(2) \quad G(e^x) = G(x) + 1$$

are called generalized exponential and logarithmic functions, respectively. They are important in numerical analysis, where they are used in a new system of computer arithmetic which has significant advantages over floating-point arithmetic, including freedom from overflow and underflow, and a more satisfactory error measure. Details of this can be found in [1] and [2].

More generally, solutions of the Abelian functional equation

$$(3) \quad f(x+1) = \phi(f(x)),$$

where ϕ is a given function mapping a set X to itself, are important in studying the flow on X determined by ϕ . This is because the inverse function g (suitably defined) satisfies

$$(4) \quad g(\phi(x)) = g(x) + 1,$$

and the composition $\phi_t(x) = f(g(x) + t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, satisfies the formal identities $\phi_0(x) = x$, $\phi_1(x) = \phi(x)$, and $\phi_{t+u}(x) = \phi_t(\phi_u(x))$.

In the case $X = \mathbf{C}$, $\phi(x) = e^x - 1$, the author showed [7] that (3) has a non-constant entire solution. Mapping properties of this function and its inverse in \mathbf{C} can be found in [9]. Numerical values are calculated in [6], and by a different method in [8].

Received January 19, 1990; revised September 4, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 33A70, 30D05, 39B10, 65D20.

©1991 American Mathematical Society
0025-5718/91 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The case $X = \mathbf{C}$, $\phi(x) = e^x$ is of particular interest and difficulty owing to the absence of a real fixed point and the complicated nature of the trajectories of the exponential function, as is shown for instance in [3]. The existence of a real-analytic solution of (2) in this case was proved by Kneser [5], but that method did not allow numerical values to be calculated.

In this paper we show how to construct a C^∞ solution of (2) by the use of a C^∞ auxiliary function h which satisfies

$$h(e^x) = e^{h(x)} - 1, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The numerical values of h are easy to calculate since the iterative procedure by which it is defined is rapidly convergent. Section 2 gives the construction of h and shows that it has the required properties. It is not clear whether h can be continued analytically off the real axis: if this were possible, then we could relate our solution to the one found by Kneser.

This function h is composed with the solution g (which is known from [7] and [8]) of $g(e^x - 1) = g(x) + 1$, to give the required $G = g \circ h$. The major difficulty arises in the calculation of g and this is discussed in §3.

Numerical values of G are calculated in the range $[0,1]$; values outside this range can be found from the functional equation.

Other approaches to the problem which have been considered are discussed and compared in §4.

2. THE AUXILIARY FUNCTION

The required properties of h are stated in Lemmas 1(iii), 2 and Theorem 1. These results may be taken on trust by a reader who wants to proceed directly to §3. We begin with the definition.

Definition 1. For $x \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$h_0(x) = x, \quad h_1(x) = \log(1 + e^x),$$

and generally for $n \geq 1$,

$$h_n(x) = \log(1 + h_{n-1}(e^x)).$$

We shall use the notations $l(x) = \log(1 + x)$, $f^{[n]}$ for the n th iterate of f , and $x_n = \exp^{[n]}(x)$. In this notation $h_n(x) = l^{[n]}(x_n)$.

Lemma 1. (i) Each h_n is increasing and real-analytic,

(ii) for all x , and $n \geq 1$, $h_n(x) > h_{n-1}(x)$, and

(iii) the limit $h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x)$ exists, is continuous and satisfies $x < h(x) < x + e^{-x}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. (i) is evident since both e^x and $l(x) = \log(1 + x)$ are increasing and real-analytic. For (ii) we see that for all $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(x) &= l^{[n]}(\log(1 + x_{n+1})) \\ &= l^{[n]}(x_n + \log(1 + 1/x_{n+1})) \\ &> l^{[n]}(x_n) = h_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

since $l^{[n]}$ is increasing, and the result follows.

For (iii) observe that for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} l^{[n]'}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 + l^{[n-1]}(x)} l^{[n-1]'}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + l^{[n-1]}(x)} \cdots \frac{1}{1 + l^{[1]}(x)} \frac{1}{1 + x}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a positive decreasing function, bounded above by $1/(1 + x)$.

But for all $n \geq 1$ we have $x_n > 0$, and so from the mean value theorem applied to the above expression for $h_{n+1}(x)$ we obtain on putting $k_n = \log(1 + 1/x_{n+1})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad h_{n+1}(x) &= l^{[n]}(x_n + k_n) \\ &= l^{[n]}(x_n) + k_n (l^{[n]})'(x_n + \theta k_n), \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \\ &< h_n(x) + \log(1 + 1/x_{n+1})/(1 + x_n). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have the weaker inequality

$$h_{n+1}(x) < h_n(x) + 1/x_{n+1},$$

and since the series $\sum 1/x_n$ converges with extreme rapidity, we deduce the uniform convergence of the sequence (h_n) , the continuity of $h(x)$, and the estimate $h(x) = x + O(e^{-x})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains to prove the sharper inequality stated in (iii). It is enough to do this for $x \geq 0$, since for $x < 0$, $h(x)$ is increasing while $x + e^{-x}$ is decreasing. From (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &< x + \log(1 + e^{-x}) + \sum_1^\infty \{\log(1 + 1/x_{n+1})\}/(1 + x_n) \\ &< x + \log(1 + e^{-x}) + \left\{ \sum_1^\infty 1/x_{n+1} \right\} / (1 + e^x), \end{aligned}$$

which we want to be $< x + e^{-x}$.

Hence, putting $u = e^x \geq 1$, we want

$$A = \sum_1^\infty 1/e^{[n]}(u) < B = (1 + u)\{1/u - \log(1 + 1/u)\}.$$

We show this by proving that $A < 1/(2u) < B$.

Note that $e^u \geq e - 1 + u$ for $u \geq 1$, and so $e^{[2]}(u) \geq e^{e+u-1}$, and similarly $e^{[n]}(u) \geq e^{[n]}(1) \cdot e^{u-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus,

$$A \leq e^{1-u} \sum_1^{\infty} 1/e^{[n]}(1) < e^{1-u}/2 < 1/(2u).$$

For the other half of the required inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (1+u)(1/u - \log(1+1/u)) \\ &= (1+u) \sum_2^{\infty} (-1)^n / (nu^n) \\ &= \left[1 + 2 \sum_2^{\infty} (-1)^n / \{n(n+1)u^n\} \right] / (2u), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows since the sum of the series is positive. \square

Lemma 2. For all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $h(e^x) = e^{h(x)} - 1$, and h is the unique solution of this functional equation which has the additional property that $x < h(x) < x + \text{constant}$, for $x \geq 0$.

Proof. The functional equation is immediate since

$$h_{n-1}(e^x) = \exp(h_n(x)) - 1.$$

Let g be any other solution with $x < g(x) < x + C$. Then

$$g(x) = l^{[n]}(g(x_n)) > l^{[n]}(x_n) = h_n(x),$$

so $g(x) \geq h(x)$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &< l^{[n]}(x_n + C) \\ &= l^{[n]}(x_n) + C(l^{[n]})'(x_n + \theta C), \quad 0 < \theta < 1; \end{aligned}$$

the second term tends to zero as in Lemma 1, and the proof is complete. \square

Note. The uniqueness result evidently holds under very much less restrictive conditions.

Lemma 3. (i) For all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $n \geq 1$, we have $0 < h'_n(x) < 1$.

(ii) Both h and all h_n are convex.

(iii) h is differentiable on \mathbf{R} , and

$$h'(x) = \exp \left\{ \sum_0^{\infty} (x_n - h(x_n)) \right\}.$$

Also, $0 < h'(x) < 1$ and $1 - h'(x) = O(e^{-x})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (i) We have $0 < h'_1(x) < 1$ by inspection, and for $n \geq 1$

$$h'_n(x) = h'_{n-1}(e^x) \exp(x - h_n(x)),$$

from which (i) follows by induction since $h_n(x) > x$.

(ii) The convexity of h_n (and thus of h also) follows from (i) and

$$h''_n(x) = h''_{n-1}(e^x) \exp(2x - h_n(x)) + h'_{n-1}(e^x)(1 - h'_n(x)) \exp(x - h_n(x)).$$

(iii) Since h is convex, there is an at most denumerable set D_1 such that $h'(x)$ exists for all $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus D_1$: also h' must have a limit as $x \rightarrow \infty$ through $\mathbf{R} \setminus D_1$, and this limit must equal 1 to satisfy Lemma 1(iii). For some larger (but still denumerable) set D_2 we can suppose that $h'(x)$ and $h'(x_n)$ exist for all $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus D_2$, $n \geq 1$. Then from the functional equation for h ,

$$h'(x) = h'(x_n) \exp \left\{ \sum_0^n (x_r - h(x_r)) \right\},$$

and so, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$h'(x) = \exp \left\{ \sum_0^\infty (x_r - h(x_r)) \right\}$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus D_2$. But the summation is continuous, so h must be continuously differentiable and this expression for $h'(x)$ is proved for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, and in addition $0 < h'(x) < 1$. The last statement follows as in the proof of Lemma 1(iii). \square

Lemma 4. *The estimate $0 < x_n^{(k)} \leq (k - 1)!n^{k-1}(x_n)^{2k}$ holds for all $n \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$. For $x \geq 0$, we have $x_n \geq n$, and the weaker but more useful consequence that*

$$x_n^{(k)} \leq (k - 1)!(x_n)^{3k-1}.$$

Proof. Since $x_1 = e^x$, $x_n = \exp(x_{n-1})$, we have

$$x'_n = x_n x'_{n-1} = x_n x_{n-1} \cdots x_1.$$

But for all $x \geq 0$, both x and x^2 are $< e^x$, so $x_1 x_2 < x_2^2$, $x_1 x_2 x_3 < x_2^2 x_3 < x_3^2$, and generally

$$x'_n = x_1 \cdots x_n < x_{n-1}^2 x_n < x_n^2.$$

This gives the result for $k = 1$.

Differentiate again to get

$$x''_n = x'_n(x'_n + \cdots + x'_1) = x'_n \sigma'_n$$

say, where

$$\sigma_n = x_1 + \cdots + x_n,$$

and

$$\sigma_n^{(k)} = x_1^{(k)} + \cdots + x_n^{(k)} \leq nx_n^{(k)}.$$

Hence $x_n'' \leq nx_n'^2$, which is the result when $k = 2$.

Suppose the result is proved for $r = 1, \dots, k$. Differentiate

$$x_n'' = x_n' \sigma_n'$$

$(k - 1)$ times to get

$$\begin{aligned} x_n^{(k+1)} &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} x_n^{(r+1)} \sigma_n^{(k-r)} \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} r! n^r (x_n)^{2(r+1)} (k-r-1)! n^{k-r} (x_n)^{2(k-r)} \\ &= (k-1)! (x_n)^{2(k+1)} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n^k = k! n^k (x_n)^{2(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Theorem 1. *The function h is C^∞ on \mathbf{R} .*

Proof. We show inductively that h is k times differentiable and that both $1 - h'(x)$, and $h^{(k)}(x)$ for $k \geq 2$, are $O(e^{-x})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma 3(iii) gives the case $k = 0$.

For the general case, let $S(x) = \sum_0^\infty (x_n - h(x_n))$, so we already know that $S(x) = O(e^{-x})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and that $h'(x) = e^{S(x)}$.

It follows that S is differentiable with

$$S'(x) = \sum_0^\infty (1 - h'(x_n)) x_n',$$

where the series converges and its sum is $O(e^{-x})$ by comparison with $\sum_0^\infty e^{-x_n} (x_n)^2$, using Lemma 4. Hence h is twice differentiable, $h''(x) = e^{S(x)} S'(x)$, and this also is $O(e^{-x})$. Now S is twice differentiable and

$$S''(x) = \sum_0^\infty \{[1 - h'(x_n)] x_n'' - h''(x_n) (x_n')^2\},$$

where the convergence of the series is assured by Lemma 4.

We proceed inductively in this way. Having shown that S is $k - 1$ times differentiable and that $S^{(k-1)}(x) = O(e^{-x})$, the existence of $h^{(k)}$ is deduced from $h' = e^S$ by $k - 1$ differentiations, each term being again $O(e^{-x})$. Then from $S(x) = \sum_0^\infty (x_n - h(x_n))$ we see by k differentiations and a suitable majorization from Lemma 4 that $S^{(k)}$ exists and is $O(e^{-x})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

3. VALUES OF GENERALIZED LOGARITHMS

We construct a generalized logarithm as the composition $G = g \circ h$, where h is the auxiliary function defined in §2, and g is defined on $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ as follows.

Let $l(z) = \log(1 + z)$ (the principal value on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -1]$), let $z_0 = z$, and for $n \geq 1$, let $z_n = l(z_{n-1})$: $z_n = l^{[n]}(z)$ in the notation of §2. Then it can be proved (essentially as in [4]) that $z_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and that the limit

$$g(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - (\log n)/3 - 2/z_n)$$

exists locally uniformly on Ω and satisfies

$$g(l(z)) = g(z) - 1, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

The restriction of g to $(0, \infty)$ is the function we require. The above limit is attained too slowly to be of use for numerical calculation; however, if we take further terms in the asymptotic expansion of $2/z_n$ we obtain [8, Theorem 2 and Lemma 4]

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} 2/z_n = & n - W_n/3 + (W_n - 1/2)/9n \\ & + (W_n^2 - 3W_n + 7/5)/54n^2 + O(W_n/n)^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $W_n = 3g(z) + \log n$.

Discarding the error term in (6) we obtain a quadratic equation for W_n with one large spurious root and a small root which gives the required value of W_n and so of g .

In Table 1 we give the value of $g(1)$ found in this way for successively larger values of n . The values were calculated in quadruple-precision arithmetic—only the most significant figures are shown.

For the accuracy we may argue heuristically as follows. Each successive row in the table corresponds roughly to a doubling of n , and gives one extra digit in agreement between successive calculated values: this is consistent with the $O(\log n/n)^3$ form of the error term in (6). This persists until around $n = 10^6$, at which time accumulated errors from the iteration of $l(x)$ start to overwhelm all other contributions. This makes it reasonable to conjecture that the first fifteen or so leading figures in the last rows of Table 1 are correct.

For higher accuracy one could either take additional terms in the asymptotic expansion (6), or a more accurate routine for logarithms, though both of these possibilities leave unanswered the question of the actual precision of the calculated values of g as defined by its iterative limit.

The same phenomenon is observed for other values of x , and values of $g(x)$ found in this way are given in Table 2.

The calculation of the auxiliary function h presents no comparable difficulty. The estimate $0 < h_{n+1}(x) - h_n(x) < 1/x_{n+1}$ established in Lemma 1 shows that $h_N(x)$ is already a sufficient approximation to $h(x)$, where N is the least n with $x_n \geq 174$ (when $x_{n+1} \geq 10^{75}$): for any real x , $N \leq 5$.

TABLE 1

n	Value of $g(1)$: n terms
10	-2.256064
20	-2.256876
50	-2.256957 939
100	-2.256960 915
200	-2.256961 142
500	-2.256961 158561
1000	-2.256961 158866 260
2000	-2.256961 158873 057
5000	-2.256961 158872 499
10000	-2.256961 158872 501761
20000	-2.256961 158872 510526
50000	-2.256961 158872 512340
100000	-2.256961 158872 512361
200000	-2.256961 158872 512355
500000	-2.256961 158872 512353
1000000	-2.256961 158872 512576

TABLE 2

x	$g(x)$
1	-2.256961 158872 51
2	-1.048451 256956 99
3	-0.599844 842289 86
4	-0.355093 566244 87
5	-0.196870 545099 95
6	-0.084242 022425 19
7	0.001068 371884 59
8	0.068548 360145 03
9	0.123655 590438 78
10	0.169772 918950 97

TABLE 3

x	$\widehat{G}(x)$
-0.02	-0.018204 301359 50
-0.01	-0.009128 010558 46
0	0.000000 000000 00
0.01	0.009179 116718 55
0.02	0.018408 699526 66
0.1	0.093976 556873 55
0.2	0.192283 329814 27
0.3	0.293914 011374 90
0.4	0.397671 246427 76
0.5	0.502267 533530 87
0.6	0.606450 659320 94
0.7	0.709115 627061 05
0.8	0.809364 315506 22
0.9	0.906501 993526 06
1.0	1.000000 000000 00

The values of the required generalized logarithm are then calculated as the composition $G = g \circ h$. Table 3 gives the normalized values $\widehat{G}(x) = G(x) - G(0)$ for $x = 0(0.1)1$. The extra values in the neighborhood of $x = 0$ enable $G'(0)$ to be estimated, for use in the final section where it is compared with the value found by other means.

4. OTHER METHODS

One easy way to construct a solution of equation (2) is to define $G(x) = x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and use the functional equation to extend the definition to the rest of \mathbf{R} . It is easy to verify that this gives a C^1 function which is not C^2 .

To obtain a C^∞ or even analytic solution, the author's "matrix method" [1], [8] assumes a power series for G about $x = 0$ in the form

$$G(x) = \sum_1^\infty c_n x^n.$$

Substitute this into (2) and expand both sides to get the system of equations

$$\sum_1^\infty c_n = 1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_1^\infty n^k c_n = c_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

A possible way of solving these equations is to consider only the first N terms of the first N equations, thus obtaining $(c_n^{(N)})$ as the solution of

$$\sum_1^N c_n^{(N)} = 1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_1^N c_n^{(N)} n^k = c_k^{(N)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1.$$

The solutions found in this way appear initially to converge with increasing N but for larger values of N the numerical results are inconclusive and no proof of convergence is known. The values of $c_1^{(N)}$ found with $N = 30, \dots, 80$ are

$$0.9159437391 \dots, N = 30$$

$$0.9159447811 \dots, N = 40$$

$$0.9159452632 \dots, N = 50$$

$$0.9159455362 \dots, N = 60$$

$$0.9159457310 \dots, N = 70$$

$$0.9159458315 \dots, N = 80.$$

By contrast, the value of $G'(0)$ from Table 3 is 0.915356365 using a 3-point, and 0.91536681 using a 5-point formula. This discrepancy in only the fourth decimal place seems to indicate that the matrix method, if it converges at all, may converge to a different solution of (2).

The values of $G(x)$ found by the matrix method when $N = 40$ are in Table 4 and show similar differences from those in Table 3.

TABLE 4

x	$G(x)$
0	0.000000 000000 00
0.1	0.093968 243244 43
0.2	0.192134 482750 65
0.3	0.293532 626333 53
0.4	0.397050 838405 42
0.5	0.501500 895931 00
0.6	0.605696 451331 34
0.7	0.708527 746133 22
0.8	0.809021 767480 67
0.9	0.906381 099933 32
1.0	1.000000 000000 00

These differences cannot be explained without at least a proof of convergence of the matrix method. And we cannot identify our function defined by the iteration method of §3, with Kneser's function defined by conformal mappings, without an extension of the domain of the function h to include nonreal values. Until both these difficulties have been overcome, the possibility remains that either two or three distinct generalized logarithms have been constructed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Professor F. W. J. Olver and Drs. J. M. Smith and D. W. Lozier (private communication) for the values of $c_1^{(N)}$ quoted in §4, and colleagues in Lancaster, Dhahran and Oman for helpful discussions and correspondence.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. W. Clenshaw, D. W. Lozier, F. W. J. Olver, and P. R. Turner, *Generalized exponential and logarithmic functions*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **12B** (5/6) (1986), 1091–1101.
2. C. W. Clenshaw, F. W. J. Olver, and P. R. Turner, *Level-index arithmetic: an introductory survey*, *Numerical Analysis and Parallel Processing* (P. R. Turner, ed.), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1397, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
3. R. L. Devaney and M. Krych, *Dynamics of $\exp z$* , *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **4** (1984), 35–52.
4. P. Fatou, *Sur les équations fonctionnelles*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **47** (1919), 161–271; **48** (1920), 33–94 and 208–314.
5. H. Kneser, *Reelle analytische Lösungen der Gleichung $\phi(\phi(x)) = e^x$ und verwandter Funktionalgleichungen*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **187** (1948), 56–67.
6. K. W. Morris and G. Szekeres, *Tables of the logarithm of iteration of $e^x - 1$* , *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **2** (1961–1962), 321–333.
7. P. L. Walker, *A class of functional equations which have entire solutions*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **39** (1988), 351–356.
8. ———, *On the solutions of an Abelian functional equation*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **155** (1991) 93–110.
9. ———, *The exponential of iteration of $e^x - 1$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **110** (1990), 611–620.

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, SULTAN QABOOS UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 32486, AL-KHOD, MUSCAT, SULTANATE OF OMAN

LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 1 of 1 -



You have printed the following article:

Infinitely Differentiable Generalized Logarithmic and Exponential Functions

Peter Walker

Mathematics of Computation, Vol. 57, No. 196. (Oct., 1991), pp. 723-733.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0025-5718%28199110%2957%3A196%3C723%3AIDGLAE%3E2.0.CO%3B2-B>

This article references the following linked citations. If you are trying to access articles from an off-campus location, you may be required to first logon via your library web site to access JSTOR. Please visit your library's website or contact a librarian to learn about options for remote access to JSTOR.

Bibliography

⁹ **The Exponential of Iteration of $\exp - 1$**

Peter L. Walker

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 110, No. 3. (Nov., 1990), pp. 611-620.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28199011%29110%3A3%3C611%3ATEOIO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-V>

NOTE: *The reference numbering from the original has been maintained in this citation list.*